

An analytical expression for the third coefficient of the Jones Polynomial

Cayetano Di Bartolo

Departamento de Física, Universidad Simón Bolívar,
Apartado 89000, Caracas 1080-A, Venezuela.

and

Jorge Griego

Instituto de Física, Facultad de Ciencias,
Tristán Narvaja 1674, Montevideo, Uruguay.

December 22, 1993

Abstract

An analytical expression for the third coefficient of the Jones Polynomial $P_J[\gamma, e^q]$ in the variable q is reported. Applications of the result in Quantum Gravity are considered.

The relationship between Knot and Quantum Field Theories is nowadays a well established fact. Since the early suggestion made by Schwarz [1] and Atiyah [2] about the existence of a quantum field theoretical description of link invariants, significant advances have been achieved in the program of connecting the Chern-Simons theory with the geometry of three-manifolds and knot theory [3, 4]. In particular, Guadagnini et al [5] has shown that the perturbative expansion of the expectation value of the Wilson line operators $\langle W(\gamma) \rangle$ in the Chern-Simons model provides a systematic method for deriving explicit expressions of link and knot invariants.

Knot invariants also play a fundamental role in the nonperturbative approach of quantum General Relativity based on the Ashtekar new variables [6]. Quantum Gravity in terms of the Ashtekar variables can be formulated in the connection [6]

and in the loop [7, 8] representation. Both representations are formally connected by the loop transform.

In the loop representation, wavefunctions are functionals of loops $\Psi[\gamma]$. The invariance of the theory under diffeomorphisms transformations of the three manifold implies that the wavefunctions depend only on the link class of the loop γ rather than the loop itself; that is to say, they must be knot invariants. In the connection representation, an exact quantum state $\Psi_\Lambda^{CS}[A]$ of the gravitational field with a cosmological constant Λ constructed with the Chern-Simons form is known [9].

At the formal level, one can express the loop transform $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ of $\Psi_\Lambda^{CS}[A]$ as the expectation value of the Wilson loop constructed with the Chern-Simons form [10]. It turns out that $\langle W(\gamma) \rangle$ satisfies in this case the skein relations of the Kauffman Bracket polynomial [3, 11], which is intimately related with the Jones polynomial. In consequence, the loop transform of $\Psi_\Lambda^{CS}[A]$ can be written as a knot polynomial in the cosmological constant,

$$\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma] = a_0[\gamma] + a_1[\gamma]\Lambda + a_2[\gamma]\Lambda^2 + \dots + a_n[\gamma]\Lambda^n + \dots \quad (1)$$

We have at present only appropriate analytical expressions for the coefficients up to order two in Λ . The action of the Hamiltonian constraint with cosmological constant in the loop representation can be computed order by order in the above expansion. The calculation has confirmed, up to second order in Λ , that the Kauffman Bracket is also a solution in the loop representation. Moreover, it was possible to conjecture as a byproduct of this calculation that the Jones polynomial itself could be a quantum state of *vacuum* General Relativity [12]. In order to put forward this conjecture, analytical expressions for the other coefficients in (1) are needed.

In this paper we report the analytical expression of the third coefficient of the expansion (1). The calculus illustrates the techniques involved in the perturbative analysis of the state (1) that comes from Chern-Simons theory. Although already exists a general method to analyze the perturbative expansion of the Wilson loop in the Chern-Simons model [13], our approach take advantage of the use of the loop coordinates [14, 15] describing the loop dependence of the knot invariant. The loop coordinates were introduced in order to define a coordinate representation associated with the loop space and they proved to be a powerful tool that increase our capability to make calculations with loop dependent objects. In that sense, the result we report here has the appropriate form to analyze the action of the Hamiltonian constraint in the loop representation. In this context, the form of the coefficient $a_3[\gamma]$ is the expected to support the conjecture that the Jones polynomial would be a solution of vacuum General Relativity.

We start by considering the third order perturbative analysis of the expectation value of the Wilson line operator in a Chern-Simons theory. The classical action of the Chern-Simons model on a smooth three-manifold is

$$S_{CS} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^3x \epsilon^{abc} Tr[A_a \partial_b A_c + i \frac{2}{3} A_a A_b A_c]. \quad (2)$$

where $A_{ax} = A_a^k(x)T^k$, T^k being the generators of a compact simple Lie group. We consider specifically the $SU(N)$ case in the fundamental representation, with $Tr[T^k T^l] = \delta^{kl}$.

The quantization of S_{CS} can be performed following the standard Faddeev-Popov procedure [4]. It is shown that general covariance on the physical space is maintained in spite the metric dependence introduced by the gauge-fixing procedure. The expectation value of any gauge invariant and metric independent quantity (like the Wilson line operator) is a topological invariant in the three-manifold.

The trace of the holonomy of the nonabelian connection A_{ax}^i can be written in the following useful way:

$$W_A(\gamma) = N + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i^n tr[A_{a_1 x_1} \cdots A_{a_n x_n}] X^{a_1 x_1 \cdots a_n x_n}(\gamma) \quad (3)$$

where all the information concerning the loops is concentrated in the multivector densities $X^{a_1 x_1 \cdots a_n x_n}$, defined by

$$X^{a_1 x_1 \cdots a_n x_n}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} dy_n^{a_n} \int_0^{y_n} dy_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{y_2} dy_1^{a_1} \delta(x_n - y_n) \cdots \delta(x_1 - y_1) \quad (4)$$

In equation (3) a generalized Einstein convention of repeated indexes is assumed. The “multitangent fields” $X^{a_1 x_1 \cdots a_n x_n}$ behave as vector densities at each of the x_i points and contain all the information about γ needed to build the holonomy. They are constrained by a series of algebraic and differential identities. It is a remarkable fact that one can actually solve these identities, obtaining a set of constraint free X 's which define the “coordinates” on loop space [15].

The expectation value $\langle W(\gamma) \rangle$ has the following expansion in powers of the coupling constant

$$\langle W(\gamma) \rangle = \int dA e^{i S_{CS}} W_A(\gamma) = N \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (i \frac{2\pi}{k})^n \langle W(\gamma) \rangle^{(n)} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\langle W(\gamma) \rangle^{(n)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^m}{N} \langle tr[A_{a_1 x_1} \cdots A_{a_m x_m}] \rangle^{(n)} X^{a_1 x_1 \cdots a_m x_m}(\gamma) \quad (6)$$

The properties of the correlation functions $\langle A_{a_1 x_1} \cdots A_{a_m x_m} \rangle$ have been studied by Guadagnini et al [5]. They have shown that the Chern-Simons model has a meaningful perturbative expansion in k and they confirmed the finiteness of the theory at two loops of the perturbative analysis. Moreover, they have shown that the one-loop and two-loop radiative corrections to $\langle A_{ax}^i A_{by}^j \rangle$ and $\langle A_{ax}^i A_{by}^j A_{cz}^k \rangle$ are not only finite but vanish identically.

In consequence, we have for the two- and three-point correlation functions the following expressions:

$$\langle A_{ax}^i A_{by}^j \rangle = -\frac{2\pi i}{k} \delta^{ij} g_{ax by} + O(k^{-4}) \quad (7)$$

and

$$\langle A_{ax}^i A_{by}^j A_{cz}^k \rangle = -\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k}\right)^2 f^{ijk} h_{ax by cz} + O(k^{-5}) \quad (8)$$

where f^{ijk} are the structure constants,

$$g_{ax by} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon_{abc} \frac{x^c - y^c}{|x - y|^3} . \quad (9)$$

and

$$h_{ax by cz} = \epsilon^{du ev fw} g_{ax du} g_{by ev} g_{cz fw} \quad (10)$$

with

$$\epsilon^{du ev fw} = \int d^3 s \epsilon^{def} \delta(u - s) \delta(v - s) \delta(w - s) . \quad (11)$$

To $O(k^{-3})$ there are contributions coming only from the four-, five- and six-point correlation functions. They are

$$i^4 \langle tr[A_{\mu_1} \dots A_{\mu_4}] \rangle^{(3)} = N^2(N^2-1) [h_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \alpha} g^{\alpha \beta} h_{\mu_3 \mu_4 \beta} - h_{\mu_1 \mu_4 \alpha} g^{\alpha \beta} h_{\mu_2 \mu_3 \beta}] \quad (12)$$

$$i^5 \langle tr[A_{\mu_1} \dots A_{\mu_5}] \rangle^{(3)} = -(N^2-1)^2 g_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} h_{\mu_3 \mu_4 \mu_5)_c} + (N^2-1) g_{(\mu_1 \mu_3} h_{\mu_2 \mu_4 \mu_5)_c} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i^6 \langle tr[A_{\mu_1} \dots A_{\mu_6}] \rangle^{(3)} &= \frac{N^4-1}{N^2} g_{\mu_1 \mu_4} g_{\mu_2 \mu_5} g_{\mu_3 \mu_6} + \frac{N^2-1}{2N^2} g_{(\mu_1 \mu_3} g_{\mu_2 \mu_5} g_{\mu_4 \mu_6)_c} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{N^2-1}{N}\right)^2 g_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_5} g_{\mu_4 \mu_6)_c} + \frac{N}{3} \left(\frac{N^2-1}{N}\right)^3 g_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_4} g_{\mu_5 \mu_6)_c} \\ &\quad + \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{N^2-1}{N}\right)^3 g_{(\mu_1 \mu_2} g_{\mu_3 \mu_6} g_{\mu_4 \mu_5)_c} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where, in order to simplify the notation, we introduce the greek indexes $\mu_i \equiv (a_i x_i)$ and the subscript c indicates cyclic permutation. $g^{\alpha \beta}$ is the inverse of the free two-point propagator in the space of transverse functions

$$g^{ax by} = \epsilon^{abc} \partial_c \delta(x - y) \quad (15)$$

$$g^{ax by} g_{by cz} = (\delta_c^a - \partial^a \partial_c \nabla^{-2}) \delta(x - z) \quad (16)$$

Now, we have to contract each of the contributions (12)-(14) with the corresponding multitangent fields. In order to extract from the whole expression those terms associated with known knot invariants, we need to use the algebraic identities of the multitangent fields. In general, these identities read [15]

$$X^{\underline{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} \mu_{k+1} \dots \mu_n} \equiv \sum_{P_k} X^{P_k(\mu_1 \dots \mu_n)} = X^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} X^{\mu_{k+1} \dots \mu_n} \quad (17)$$

and the sum goes over all the permutations of the μ variables which preserve the ordering of the $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ and the $\mu_{k+1}, \dots, \mu_n$ between themselves. Let us analyze

in some detail how they work in the case of the five-point correlation function. Using the symmetry properties of the propagators $g_{\mu_1\mu_2}$ and $h_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}$ under the interchange of the indexes, one can write

$$\begin{aligned}
i^5 < tr[A_{\mu_1} \dots A_{\mu_5}] >^{(3)} X^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} &= -(N^2-1)^2 g_{\mu_1\mu_2} h_{\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} [X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} - X^{(\mu_1\mu_3\mu_2\mu_4\mu_5)_c}] \\
&+ (N^2-1) g_{(\mu_1\mu_3} h_{\mu_2\mu_4\mu_5)_c} X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} \\
&= -(N^2-1)^2 (g_{\mu_1\mu_2} X^{\mu_1\mu_2}) (h_{\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} X^{\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5}) \\
&+ N^2(N^2-1) g_{(\mu_1\mu_3} h_{\mu_2\mu_4\mu_5)_c} X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the procedure for the other terms and using the known expressions for the lower coefficients of the expansion (5) [4]:

$$< W(\gamma) >^{(1)} = -\frac{N^2-1}{2N} \varphi[\gamma] \quad (19)$$

$$< W(\gamma) >^{(2)} = -\frac{N^2-1}{2} \rho[\gamma] + \frac{1}{2} [< W(\gamma) >^{(1)}]^2 \quad (20)$$

where

$$\varphi[\gamma] = -2 g_{ax by} X^{axby} \quad (21)$$

$$\rho[\gamma] = 2 (h_{ax by cz} X^{ax by cz} + g_{ax cz} g_{by dw} X^{ax by cz dw}) ; \quad (22)$$

the following expression for the third order contribution is finally obtained:

$$< W(\gamma) >^{(3)} = -\frac{N(N^2-1)}{2} \tau[\gamma] + < W(\gamma) >^{(1)} < W(\gamma) >^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3} [< W(\gamma) >^{(1)}]^3 \quad (23)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau[\gamma] &= -2 [(h_{\mu_1\mu_2\alpha} g^{\alpha\beta} h_{\mu_3\mu_4\beta} - h_{\mu_1\mu_4\alpha} g^{\alpha\beta} h_{\mu_2\mu_3\beta}) X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} + \\
&g_{(\mu_1\mu_3} h_{\mu_2\mu_4\mu_5)_c} X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5} + \\
&(2g_{\mu_1\mu_4} g_{\mu_2\mu_5} g_{\mu_3\mu_6} + \frac{1}{2} g_{(\mu_1\mu_3} g_{\mu_2\mu_5} g_{\mu_4\mu_6)_c}) X^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\mu_5\mu_6}] \quad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

$\varphi[\gamma]$ is the Gauss self-linking number and $\rho[\gamma]$ is related to the second coefficient of the Alexander-Conway polynomial [4]. Up to this order of the perturbative expansion, the expectation value of the Wilson line operator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
< W(\gamma) > &= N \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi i}{k} \frac{N^2-1}{2N} \varphi[\gamma] \right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{2\pi i}{k} \right)^2 \frac{N^2-1}{2} \rho[\gamma] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\frac{2\pi i}{k} \right)^3 \frac{N(N^2-1)}{2} \tau[\gamma] + O(k^{-4}) \right] \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

As it is known, this result represent the perturbative emergence of the relation existing between the Kauffman Bracket polynomial (a regular isotopy invariant) and the Jones

polynomial (an ambient isotopy invariant) in the variable $\exp(-i\frac{2\pi}{k}\frac{N}{2})$ [13]. All the framing dependence is concentrated in the exponential phase factor. Equation (24) gives an analytical expression for the third coefficient of $P_J[\gamma, \exp(-i\frac{2\pi}{k}\frac{N}{2})]$.

Let us review now how this result is applied in Quantum Gravity. As we just mentioned, a formal solution to all the constraints of Quantum Gravity is known in the connection representation. This state is given by the exponential of the Chern-Simons form built with the Ashtekar connection [9]

$$\Psi_\Lambda^{CS}[A] = \exp(-\frac{12}{\Lambda} \int \tilde{\eta}^{abc} \text{Tr}[A_a \partial_b A_c + \frac{2}{3} A_a A_b A_c]). \quad (26)$$

The loop transform of $\Psi_\Lambda^{CS}[A]$ is clearly related with the expectation value of the Wilson loop if one makes the assumption that for $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ the measure of integration is that of a Chern-Simons theory for the Ashtekar connection [16]. The result (25) can then be translated to this case with the following formal change: $(\frac{\Lambda}{8}) \rightarrow i(\frac{2\pi}{k})(\frac{3}{4})$, that put in correspondence the skein relations for $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ and $\langle W(\gamma) \rangle$ in the SU(2) case.

The coefficient $a_3[\gamma]$ (expanding in terms of $\frac{\Lambda}{6}$) reads

$$\begin{aligned} a_3[\gamma] &= -3\tau[\gamma] + a_2[\gamma] a_1[\gamma] - \frac{1}{3} [a_1[\gamma]]^3 \\ &= -3\tau[\gamma] - \frac{3}{2}\rho[\gamma] a_1[\gamma] + \frac{1}{6} [a_1[\gamma]]^3 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

with

$$a_0[\gamma] = 1[\gamma] \quad (28)$$

$$a_1[\gamma] = -\frac{3}{4}\varphi[\gamma] \quad (29)$$

$$a_2[\gamma] = -\frac{3}{2}\rho[\gamma] + \frac{1}{2} [a_1[\gamma]]^2 \quad (30)$$

The action of the Hamiltonian constraint with a cosmological constant $H_\Lambda = H_0 + \frac{\Lambda}{6} \det(q)$ over the loop state $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ (where H_0 is the vacuum Hamiltonian constraint and q the three metric) produces the following equations order by order in Λ

$$\Lambda : \quad \det(q) 1[\gamma] + H_0 a_1[\gamma] = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\Lambda^2 : \quad \det(q) a_1[\gamma] + H_0 \frac{1}{2} [a_1[\gamma]]^2 - \frac{3}{2} H_0 \rho[\gamma] = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\Lambda^3 : \quad \det(q) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [a_1[\gamma]]^2 - \frac{3}{2} \rho[\gamma] \right\} + H_0 \left\{ \frac{1}{6} [a_1[\gamma]]^3 - \frac{3}{2} \rho[\gamma] a_1[\gamma] \right\} - 3H_0 \tau[\gamma] = 0 \quad (33)$$

One can prove that the phase factor of (25) is itself a solution of the Hamiltonian constraint with cosmological constant [17], that is

$$H_\Lambda \exp \left(a_1[\gamma] \frac{\Lambda}{6} \right) = 0 \quad (34)$$

This fact produces the cancellation of the first two terms of equation (32) among themselves. Then, for equation (32) to hold, the second coefficient of the Jones polynomial $\rho[\gamma]$ has to be a solution of the *vacuum* Hamiltonian constrain [12]. This result has been proved independently by Brüggmann, Gambini and Pullin [18, 19].

We notice that the first two terms of (33) have the appropriate form to reproduce at this level the same cancellation mechanism that operates at order Λ^2 . The contribution of the terms involving only $a_1[\gamma]$ vanishes due to (34). One could expect that the other terms also cancel among themselves. If this happens, also the third coefficient of the Jones polynomial $\tau[\gamma]$ has to be a solution of H_0 . Explicit computations to prove this conjecture are in progress.

* * *

We wish to especially thank Rodolfo Gambini for his critical comments. We also thank Jorge Pullin and Daniel Armand-Ugon for many fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] A. Schwarz, Lett. Math. Phys. 2 (1978) 247; Comm. Math. Phys. 67 (1979) 1.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, *New invariants of Three- and Four-Dimensional Manifolds*, in *The Mathematical Heritage of Hermann Weyl*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 48, ed. R. Wells (Amer. Math. Soc., 1988).
- [3] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **121** (1989) 351.
- [4] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini, M. Mintchev, Nuc. Phys. **B330** (1990) 575 and references quoted therein.
- [5] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini, M. Mintchev, Phys. Lett. **B227** (1989) 111.
- [6] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57** (1986) 2244; Phys. Rev. **D36**, (1987) 1587.
- [7] C. Rovelli, L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 1155; Nuc. Phys. **B331** (1990) 80.
- [8] R. Gambini, Phys. Lett. **B255** (1991) 180.
- [9] H. Kodama, Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 2548.
- [10] B. Brügmann, R. Gambini, J. Pullin, Nuc. Phys. **B385** (1992) 587.
- [11] P. Cotta-Ramusino, E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini, M. Mintchev, Nucl. Phys. **B330** (1990) 557.
- [12] B. Brügmann, R. Gambini, J. Pullin, Gen. Rel. Grav. **25** (1993) 1.
- [13] E. Guadagnini, M. Martellini, M. Mintchev, Phys. Lett. **B 228** (1989) 489.
- [14] R. Gambini and L. Leal, Preprint IFFI.91.01 (1991), Montevideo.
- [15] C. Di Bartolo, R. Gambini and J. Griego, "The Extended Loop Group: an infinite dimensional manifold associated with the loop space", to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.
- [16] This assumption can not been rigorously proved (no explicit prescription for the measure in the path integral over all connections is known in (3+1)-Quantum Gravity). Notice however that $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ is expressed in terms of knot invariants which can also been obtained independently of the transform. If the formalism works, $\Psi_\Lambda[\gamma]$ should be a solution of all the constraints of quantum gravity with cosmological constant in the loop representation. The confirmation of this fact would reinforce the heuristic relation between both representations given by the loop transform.

- [17] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, private communication.
- [18] B. Brügmann, R. Gambini, J. Pullin, "Knots invariants as nondegenerate states of four dimensional quantum gravity", in "Proceedings of the XXth DGM", Twentieth International Meeting on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, S.Catto, A.Rocha, eds., World Scientific, Singapore (1992) p.784.
- [19] B.Brügmann, R. Gambini, J. Pullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68** (1991) 431.